

## CONSULTATION DOCUMENT FOR THE TRANSIT GAS PIPELINE SYSTEM

IN FULFILMENT OF ARTICLE 26 OF

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2017/460 OF 16 MARCH 2017 ESTABLISHING A

NETWORK CODE ON HARMONISED TRANSMISSION TARIFF STRUCTURES FOR GAS

WARSAW, AUGUST 2021

	[A] ART. 26(1)(A): THE PROPOSED METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE REFERENCE PRICE.
[1] Informo syster	ation on the parameters used in the proposed RPM related to technical characteristics of the transmission n [Art. 26(1)(a)(i), Art. 30. (1)(a)].
	[A] Description of the proposed reference price methodology.
Article	There are two separate entry-exit systems in Poland, each of which is managed, pursuant to decisions of the President of the ERO, by an independent Transmission System Operator: - National Transmission System (hereinafter: NTS), on which, in accordance with the decision of the President of the Energy Regulatory Office (hereinafter referred to as the President of the ERO) of 6 December 2018 no. DRG.DRG-1.4720.1.2018.KL GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. (hereinafter : "GAZ-SYSTEM" or "Company") being at the same time the owner of the network performs the function of the operator and - the Transit Gas Pipeline System (hereinafter: TGPS) which is the Polish section of the Yamal-Western Europe gas pipeline owned by EuRoPol GAZ s.a., on which, pursuant to the decision of the President of the Energy Regulatory Office of 17 November 2010 no. DPE-4720-4(8)/2010/6154/BT GAZ-SYSTEM performs the function of operator in accordance with the guidelines of Directive 2009/73/EC in the ISO (Independent System Operator) model. On 19 May 2015, by virtue of the decision of the President of the ERO No. DRG-4720-2(28)/2014/2015/6154/KF, GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. was granted a certificate of independence in relation to the performance of the function of a transmission system operator on the Polish section of the Yamal - Western Europe gas pipeline owned by the company Transit Gas Pipeline System Europe GAZ s.a. (hereinafter also referred to as: EPG).
26(1)(a)	The aforementioned transmission systems are connected only by the PWP Exit point - interconnection point at the border of the TGPS (owned by EuRoPol GAZ s.a.) and the National Transmission System (owned by GAZ-SYSTEM).
	Therefore, having regard to Article 6(3) <u>of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March</u> 2017 <u>establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas (Official Journal of the</u> <u>European Union L 72/29 of 17 March 2017)</u> (hereinafter: TAR NC), GAZ-SYSTEM publishes separate consultation documents containing separate reference price methodologies (hereinafter: RPM) separately for the NTS and TGPS.
	At the same time, the Company notes that in connection with the decision of the President of the ERO designating GAZ-SYSTEM as the entity responsible for carrying out the consultations resulting from Article 26 of the TAR NC (decision of 16 July 2018 no: DRG.DRG-2.7129.5.2018.JDo1), on the Transit Gas Pipeline Network, ERO will not conduct separate consultations regarding the reference price methodology for TGPS. Nevertheless, parallel to the final consultations conducted by GAZ-SYSTEM regarding the RPM separately for the National Transmission System and separately for the Transit Gas Pipeline System, the President of the ERO is consulting the national regulatory authorities of all directly

connected Member States and relevant stakeholders regarding Article 28 of the TAR NC. Consultations conducted by the President of the ERO include:

- multiplier levels for the short-term capacity products offered,
- the levels of seasonal factors for the short-term capacity products offered, if applicable, and calculation method of them,
- the discount levels specified in Articles 9(2) and 16 of the TAR NC.

During the consultation process starting at the end of August 2021 with the publication of this document and lasting until 31 October 2021, it is possible for stakeholders to send their comments to the following e-mail address: nctar\_sgt@gaz-system.pl. In order to ensure transparency and efficiency of the consultation process, the Company kindly requests to send comments also in English.

Pursuant to Article 26(2) of the TAR NC Code, the deadline for comments on the RPM for capacity products proposed herein by GAZ- SYSTEM expires at the end of the final consultation, i.e. 31 October 2021.

According to the rules of TAR NC, these comments should be public so that the operator can publish them with a summary as part of the next consultation stage. In order to ensure the confidentiality of the submitted comments an appropriate comment should be included in their content.

In order to make the consultation more effective, the consultation document available at https://en.gaz-system.pl/strefa-klienta/sgt-gazociag-jamalski/taryfa-sgt/konsultacje-nc-tar/ has been published in both Polish and English language versions.

In case of discrepancies between the Polish and English versions of the consultation document, the consultation document drawn up in Polish shall be binding.

Within one month following the end of the final consultation GAZ-SYSTEM shall publish the responses received in the consultation procedure and their summary. In accordance with TAR NC guidelines, the summary of comments will also be provided in English to ensure transparency and efficiency of the process.

This document published as part of the final consultation is the document submitted to ACER, for analysis and assessment of its compliance with the provisions of Article 27(1) and (2) of the TAR NC. This document will constitute the basis for the President of ERO in taking a justified decision approving the RPM proposed by GAZ-SYSTEM in accordance with Article 27.4 of the TAR NC.

Due to high unpredictability of the use of capacity offered on the Transit Gas Pipeline System in the context of construction of new gas transmission routes in Europe and lack of final decisions regarding the agreement on entrusting the operator's duties between GAZ-SYSTEM and EuRoPol GAZ s.a. at the moment of publication of the consultation document, **the Company proposes that the reference price methodology described in this document should be valid for a period of 2 years, i.e. from 1 January 2023, 6 a.m.** 

Currently transmission services provided on the TGPS, both by EuRoPol GAZ and GAZ-SYSTEM, are settled according to the tariff approved for 2021 by the President of ERO upon the request of EuRoPol GAZ. The entire costs of the functioning of the TGPS shall be borne by EuRoPol GAZ.

On 2 June 2021 the President of ERO approved by virtue of the decision no. DRG.DRG-2.4212.17.2021.AG at the request of EuRoPol GAZ s.a. Tariff for the transmission of high-methane natural gas of the Transit Gas Pipeline System for the period from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022.

Only from January 2023, following the expiry of historical contracts on the TGPS at the end of 2022, transmission services provided by GAZ-SYSTEM on the Transit Gas Pipeline System will be settled according to the tariff approved by the President of ERO upon the request of GAZ-SYSTEM, prepared on the basis of the references price methodology approved by the President of ERO for the years 2023-2024.

The allowed revenue approved by the President of the Energy Regulatory Office will be determined using the cost-plus method and will constitute the sum of the forecast operating costs of the Transit Gas Pipeline System in a given tariff year and the return on capital employed determined as a percentage of the regulated asset base allocated to the TGPS.

All data and assumptions adopted by GAZ-SYSTEM for the calculation of reference prices will be, subject to final assessment and acceptance by the President of ERO in the tariff process by means of a decision on approval of tariffs for 2023 and 2024 calculated in accordance with this RPM.

Under the methodology proposed and described herein, it is assumed that all revenues will be recovered through capacity-based transmission tariffs. <u>The reference price methodology proposed</u> by GAZ-SYSTEM is the Capacity Weighted Distance (CWD) methodology developed under the <u>assumptions detailed in Article 8 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/416 of 16 March 2017.</u> This methodology is based on the two cost drivers referred to in Article 5 of the TAR NC:

- a) the forecasted contracted capacity at a given entry or exit point,
- b) the distance between the corresponding points. To determine these distances the points are combined in pairs (in accordance with Article 8(1)(c) of the TAR NC).

The methodology described, in this consultation document, considers interconnection points:

- Entry Kondratki<sup>1</sup>,
- Entry Mallnow,
- Exit PWP,
- Exit Mallnow.

In order to determine the weighted average distance of Exit PWP point to Entry Kondratki and Entry Mallnow points, the actual distances (km) of physical points Lwówek and Włocławek (included in the PWP) to the Entry Kondratki point and to the Entry Mallnow point, taking into account the proportion of technical capacities for these physical points. The calculation was made on the basis of the formula set out in Article 8(2)(a) (ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Entry point from third country where all rules regarding the interconnection point apply

The following table shows the calculation of the weighted average distance of the Exit PWP point to the Entry Kondratki and Entry Mallnow point.

Entry / Exit points	Proportion of technical capacities	Kondratki Entry [km]	Mallnow Entry [km]
Włocławek	0.79	367.4	316.5
Lwówek	0.21	581.6	102.3
PWP Exit - weighted average distance [km]	1.00	412.9	271.0

The calculation of the weighted average distances between points was carried out on the basis of the forecasted contracted capacities and the distances between entry and exit points, expressed in km, for which a connection is possible in the selected flow scenario, whereby if entry and exit points cannot be connected in the selected flow scenario, such a connection of entry and exit points is not taken into account.

Calculation of distance for - article 8 pe	the weighted average entry and exit points oint 2 (a) (i) and (ii)	Entry points Forecasted contracted capacity [kWh/h]		Kondratki Entry 13 517 000	Mallnow Entry 5 782 862
Exit points	Forecasted contracted capacity [kWh/h]	Weighted average distance (WAD)		602.71	270.96
				[km]	[km]
PWP Exit Mallnow Exit	5 782 862 13 517 000	370.40 683.90	[km] [km]	412.94 683.90	270.96

In accordance with the <u>CWD method described in Article 8 of the TAR NC</u>, the Entry Exit split is 50/50 and this split of costs GAZ-SYSTEM proposes for the entire duration of this methodology.

The calculation of the reference prices under the proposed RPM has been made in accordance with the guidelines contained in Article 8 of the TAR NC, without altering or modifying the calculation method contained therein.

In accordance with the formula provided in Article 8 Section 2 (b) and (d) of TAR NC, in order to allocate the revenue planned to be recovered at individual entry and exit points of the Transit Gas Pipeline System, cost weights were established, assuming a 50/50 split of costs between the entry and exit points, as proposed by GAZ-SYSTEM.

Having established the aforementioned parameters, the level of the transmission rates were set as the quotient of revenues allocated in the manner described above and the forecasted contracted capacity for individual points (Article 8 Section 2 (e) of TAR NC).

	Indicative tariff rates co	alculation							
	Entry points	Forecasted contracted capacity [kWh/h]	Weighted average distance (WAD) [km]	Weight of cost allocated to each point	Revenue allocated [thous. PLN]	Indicative tariff rates [gr/kWh/h per h]			
	Kondratki Entry Mallnow Entry	13 517 000 5 782 862	602.71 270.96	0.84 0.16	317 522 61 071	0.26816 0.12056			
	Exit points	Forecasted contracted capacity [kWh/h]	Weighted average distance (WAD) [km]	Weight of cost allocated to each point	Revenue allocated [thous. PLN]	Indicative tariff rates [gr/kWh/h per h]			
	PWP Exit Mallnow Exit	5 782 862 13 517 000	370.40 683.90	0.19 0.81	71 220 307 373	0.14059 0.25959			
	[B] Justification of t	he parameters used th	at are related to t	he technical c	haracteristic	s of the system.			
	The technical char	acteristics of the Trans	it Gas Pipeline Sys	item - the trans	sit nature and	d linearity of the			
	the use of the CWI	) as the method for de	termining the refe	erence price		corrierity josnines			
	In the case of such	a simple network stru	cture (2 physical e	entrv points ar	nd 2 physical	exit points), the			
	adoption of the co	ost driver used for cost	allocation, in the	form of the dis	tance betwe	en the relevant			
	entry/exit points, is	fully justified as custom	ners bear costs not	t only in propor	tion of the b	ooked capacity			
Articles	but also to the dist	but also to the distance over which gas is transported.							
26(1)(a)(i)	The proposed meth	nod for determining th	e reference price	uses actual dis	stances mea	sured along the			
30(1)(d)(I-V)	pipeline route, as detailed in Section [1A] of this document.								
	The contracted capacities which constitute the basis for the calculation of the reference rates								
	presented herein were estimated on the basis of a forecast prepared by GAZ-SYSTEM and are based								
	on the assumption that in the TGPS gaseous fuel will be transported from the east to the west and that								
	the National Transn	the National Transmission System will be supplied from the west through the PWP interconnection point.							
	Such assumptions j	ustify adopting the dis	tance and foreca	sted contracte	ed capacity i	in Entry Mallnow			
	set out in paragraph [1A] of the Consultation Document as the parameters used in the proposed								
	reference pricing method.								
	[C] Technical capa	icity at entry and exit	points and assump	otions made.					
	Not applicable.								
	The technical capacity of the points of the TGPS system at which fees will be collected is not a								
Articles	parameter used in	the proposed RPM.			1.6				
26(1)(a)(i)	In order to determin	ne the weighted avera	age distance of the	e Exif PWP poir	if from the er	ntry points of the			
30(1)(a)(i)	IGPS, GAZ-STSTEM	used only the proport	NUCL OF THE TECHT		s of those phy	vical exit points			
	Lwowek and whoc	etres) from the Entry K	e FWF) and the ac	Mallnow poin	s or mese prij	ysical exil points			
	The forecasted cor	atracted capacities at	the TCPS intercor	onection point	s were used :	to calculate the			
	indicative rates pu	rsuant to Article 8 of th	e TAR NC.						
	[D] Forecasted con	tracted capacity at e	ntry and exit point	s and assumpt	lions made.				
Articles	The table below sh	ows the forecasted cc	ontracted capacit	ies at each en	try and exit p	oint included in			
26(1)(a)(i) 30(1)(a)(ii)	the calculation of t	he indicative referenc	e prices (transmis	sion tariffs) sub	ject to this co	onsultation.			
			·	- '					

		Entry/ Exit points	Forecasted contracted capacity [kWh/h]				
		Kondratki Entry	13 517 000				
		Mallnow Entry	5 782 862				
		PWP Exit	5 782 862				
			13 317 000				
	The contracte	>d capacities which constitut	e the basis for the calculation of the re	eference rates			
	presented here	ein were estimated on the ba	sis of a forecast prepared by GAZ-SYSIEM (	and are based			
	on the assump	stion that in the IGPS gaseous	tuel will be transported from the east to the	west and that			
	the National in	ansmission system will be supp	lied from the west through the rwr intercor				
	set out in par	aranh [14] of the Consultat	ion Document as the parameters used in	the proposed			
	reference prici	ing method.		ine proposed			
	[E] The quantity	w and direction of ags flows at	entry and exit points and the assumptions of	nade such as			
	gas flows u	nder peak demand conditions	for the assumed demand and supply scen	iario.			
	The tariff for TGPS calculated on the basis of this methodology will be only a capacity-based tariff. The						
	volume of gaseous fuel transported at the entry and exit points is not a parameter used in the						
Articles	proposed RPM. In contrast, in order to combine the relevant entry and exit points (in accordance with						
30(1)(a)(ii)	Article 8 (1)(c)	of the TAR NC) and to determin	ne the average distances between these p	oints as a cost			
	driver, the tollo	wing possible gas flow direction	ons are assumed:				
	• from eq	ast to west	NVD point from the Entry Malloous point)				
	• from w	'est to east (supplying the Exit F	we point from the entry Malinow point).				
	[F] Structural re	presentation of the transmissic	on network with an appropriate level of deta	ail.			
	The Transit Gas	Pipeline System [TGPS] in Polar	nd represents a part of the gas pipeline syste	em measuring			
	an estimated 4	4000 km, running from Russia th	rough Belarus and Poland to Western Europ	be. The system			
	features a linea	ar nature with one pipeline and	d the following points:				
	<ul> <li>Kondrat systems,</li> </ul>	ki (Entry) - interconnection po ,	int on the border between the Belarusian	and the TGPS			
Articles	<ul> <li>Mallnow and the</li> </ul>	v (Entry) - interconnection poin 9 TGPS,	t at the border between the German transr	nission system			
26(1)(a)(i) 30(1)(a)(iv)	<ul> <li>PWP (E)</li> <li>Transmis</li> </ul>	xit) - interconnection point o ssion System owned by GAZ-SY	on the border between the TGPS and STEM.	the National			
	<ul> <li>Mallnow system.</li> </ul>	v (Exit) - interconnection point	at the border of the TGPS and the Germa	n transmission			
	The operating https://en.gaz-	range of Gas Transmission ( -system.pl/ under the link:	Operator GAZ-SYSTEM S.A. is available or	1 the website			
	https://swi.gaz-	-system.pl/swi/public/#!/gis/m	ap/preview?id=10072⟨=en				

	[G] Additional technical information about the transmission network, such as: the length and the						
	diameter of pipelines and the power of compressor stations.						
	The table below provides information on the length and diameter of the TGPS pipeline.						
	Technical information about the transmission network - lenght and diameter of pipielines						
Articles		Diameter DN	Lenght [km]				
26(1)(a)(i) 30(1)(a)(v)		DN 1400	683.9				
	The table below provid	des information on the number c	and capacity of system cor	npressor stations.			
		Technical information about th compessor s	e transmission network - tations				
		Quantity	Power [MW]				
		5	400				
[2] Value (	of proposed adjustment	s for capacity-based transmissic	on tariffs pursuant to Article	9.			
	[A] Proposed discount	(s) at entry points from and exit p	points to storage facilities.				
Articles	Not applicable.	Not applicable.					
26(1)(a)(II) 9(1)	There are no entry from / exit to underground storage facilities points on the Transit Gas Pipeline						
'	System.						
Articles	[B] Proposed discount(	(s) at entry points from LNG facili	ities.				
26(1)(a)(ii)	Not applicable.						
9(2)	There are no entry poi	nts from LNG facilities on the Ira	nsit Gas Pipeline System.				
	[C] Proposed discoun	t(s) at entry points from and e	xit points to infrastructure	developed with the			
Articles	purpose of ending	the gas transmission systems iso	lation of the Member States	3.			
26(1)(a)(ii)	Not applicable.						
9(2)	The TGPS has no entr	y points from and exit points to	> infrastructure developed	with the purpose of			
	ending the gas transm	ission systems isolation of the Me	ember States.				
[3] Indicat	ive reference prices sub	oject to consultation [Article 26(1	1)(a)(iii)].				
	[A] Indicative reference	e prices at each entry point and	d at each exit point.				
	The table below show contracted capacitie:	vs the indicative reference pric s based on the RPM described i	es calculated on the basi in this document.	is of the forecasted			
Article 26(1)(a)(iii)							

-							
		Entry poir exit poir	nts/ Ind	icative tariff rates gr/kWh/h per h]			
		Kondratki Entr	у	0.26816			
		Mallnow Entry		0.12056			
		PW P Exit		0.14059			
		Mallnow Exit		0.25959			
		()(:) A					
[4] Cost di	location assessment [Art.26(1)	(a)(IV), Art.5].					
	[A] Results of the cost allocat	lion assessmer	it.				
	All entry and exit points on th	ie Transit Gas P	ipeline Syste	m are interconnection	n points. <u>No end users are</u>		
	connected to the TGPS netw	vork, so the TGI	<u>PS network h</u>	<u>as no intra-system co</u>	nnection points. Thus, the		
	Comp <sub>cap</sub> cost allocation inc	lex referred to	in Article 5	of the TAR NC for co	mparing the cross-system		
	Ratiocross cap capacity index of	and the intra-sy	vstem Ratio <sub>in</sub>	tra cap capacity index,	is not calculated.		
	For this reason, the Company	y does not prov	vide a cost a	llocation assessment	as <u>all costs are recovered</u>		
	from cross-system network us	e on the TGPS					
	[B] Components of the cost allocation assessment referred to in Article 5.						
-	Due to the specific nature of the Transit Gas Pipeline System, i.e. the lack of intra-system connections,						
	GAZ-SYSTEM presents the parameters for the assessment of cost allocation for interconnection points.						
	The details of the componen	its of the cost c	allocation ev	aluation are summari	zed in [4][C].		
Articles 26(1)(a)(iv)	[C] Details of components of	the cost alloc	ation assessr	nent.			
5	GAZ-SYSTEM has prepared a s	set of paramet	ers for the as	sessment of cost alloc	ation for interconnection		
	points. <u>At the same time the</u>	Company info	rms that the	<u>e are no intra-system</u>	connection points in the		
	TGPS network, so it is not po	<u>ssible to preser</u>	nt cost alloc	ation assessment par	ameters for these points.		
	Details are shown in the table	e:					
		F	orecasted				
	Cross-system points	c	capacity	Indicative tariff rates [gr/kWh/h per h]	Cross-system revenue [thous. PLN]		
			[kWh/h]		• • • •		
	Kondratki Entry Malloow Entry		13 517 000	0.26816	317 522		
	PWP Frit		5 782 862	0.14059	71 220		
	Mallnow Exit		13 517 000	0.25959	307 373		
[5] Assess	ment of the proposed reference	e price metho	doloav in ac	cordance to Articles	7 and 13 of the		
Regula	tion (EC) No. 715/2009 [Article	e 26(1)(a)(v)].	g, de				
		-					
Articles	[A] Reference Price Determ	ination (RPM)	method sho	uld: enable network	users to reproduce the		

7	The indicative reference prices presented in this consultation document have been calculated in						
13 [Reg. EC	accordance with the CWD methodology, the principles of which are set in Article 8 of the TAR NC. A						
715/2009	description of the proposed RPM, indicative input data, and reference price calculation rules are						
	presented above in [1A]. All described input parameters enable network users to reproduce the						
	calculation of reference prices and their accurate forecast.						
	[B] The RPM should take into account the actual costs incurred for the provision of transmission services						
	considering the level of complexity of the transmission network [Art. 7(b)].						
	The proposed RPM is based on the actual costs of providing transmission services during the tariff						
	periods covered by this consultation and takes into account the level of complexity of the transmission						
	network (see description of the method in [1A]). The indicative rates presented in this document have						
	been calculated on the basis of the best knowledge of GA7-SYSTEM regarding the forecasted of						
	operating costs associated with the transmission of agreous fuel through the IGPS for the year 2023						
	Le ine krm shall ensure non-alsorimination and shall prevent undue cross-subsidisation including by						
	taking into account the cost allocation assessments set out in Article 5 of the IAK NC.						
	The RPM method proposed by GAZ-SYSTEM is the reference method described in Article 8 of the TAR						
	NC - i.e. the CWD methodology (determination of reference prices based on capacity-weighted						
	distances) - used by the TAR NC as a comparative method for other reference price methodologies.						
	GAZ-SYSTEM does not apply any deviation from or modification of the method indicated in Article 8						
	of the TAR NC and adopts a 50/50 split of costs between entry/exit points. This method reflects costs in						
	a non-discriminatory way and prevents any excessive cross-subsidisation. System users shall bear the						
	costs of its operation in proportion to its use based on booked capacity and the distance over which						
	they transport gaseous fuel.						
	[D] The RPM shall ensure that significant volume risk related particularly to transports across an entry-						
	exit system is not assigned to final customers within that entry-exit system.						
	All entry and exit points on the Transit Gas Pipeline System are interconnection points. No end-users						
	are connected to the TGPS.						
	[E] The RPM shall ensure that the resulting reference prices do not distort cross-border trade.						
	The indicative rates calculated according to the methodology proposed by GAZ-SYSTEM, consistent						
	with the CWD methodology described in Article 8 of the TAR NC do not distort cross-border trade, and						
	the differences in price levels result primarily from a change in the method applied so far by EuRoPol						
	GAZ s.a., which ensured that for each customer for a given kind of service (product), the sum of charges						
	for entry and exit for a unit of contracted capacity, converted into a unit of distance between the pair						
	of points specified in the gas flow scenario used in the RPM, was the same. Proposed by GA7-SYSTEM						
	and preferred by TAR NC the RPM including the calculation of separate transmission fee rates for the						
	Entry Mallnow point and the Exit Mallnow point will positively affect the actual reflection of the costs of						
	system operation						
	It should be noted that according to the taritt prepared by EuRoPol GAZ s.a. for 2021 and the reference						
	price methodology approved by the President of ERO for 2020-2022, the forecasted allowed revenue						
	based only on the revenue from physical direction flow services (i.e. does not include revenue from						
	reverse flow). This means that no separate transmission rate was calculated at the Entry Mallnow point.						

[6] Compai consult	ison with the CWD method	ology (Art. 8) accompan iii).	ied by the indico	ative reference pri	ces subject to		
	[A] Where the proposed re reference price metho should be performed.	eference price methodolo dology detailed in Article	ogy is other than e 8, a comparisc	the capacity weig on between both r	hted distance nethodologies		
Articles	Not applicable.						
20(1)(U)(V) 8	The RPM proposed by GAZ-SYSTEM is directly based on the CWD method described in the TAR NC and						
	does not introduce any m	odifications in relation to t	he methodology	described in Artic	le 8 of the TAR		
	NC.						
	[B] Comparison of indicat	[B] Comparison of indicative reference prices at each entry point and at each exit point of th					
Articles	proposed RPM and the	CWD detailed in Article 8					
26(1)(a)(vi)	Not applicable.						
8	The indicative rates have	been calculated based	on the RPM pro	posed by GAZ-SY	STEM, which is		
	directly based on the C	CWD method described	in the TAR NC	and does not i	ntroduce any		
	modifications in relation to the methodology described in Article 8 of the TAR NC.						
	ve information set out in Ari	$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}$					
Articles	[A] Allowed or target revenue, or both, of the transmission system operator.						
26(1)(b) 30(1)(b)(i)	The indicative revenue for	the 2023 fariff year for wh	ich this methodo	logy is consulted a	mounts to PLN		
Articles							
26(1)(b)	Indicative revenue from tr	compression convictor for the	tariff yoar 2022 a	mounts to PLNI 757	10 million and		
30(1)(b) (iv)	is equal to the amount of r	regulated revenue.	iunn yeur 2023 u				
	[C] Capacity-commodity	split of the transmission se	rvices revenue.				
	Breakdown between th	ne revenue from capacity	v-based transmis	sion tariffs and the	revenue from		
	commodity-based transmission tariff.						
	The following table provides the indicative revenue breakdown into capacity-based transmission						
Articles 26(1)(b) 30(1)(b)(y)	tariffs and the revenue from commodity-based transmission tariff.						
(1)	Revenue recom	covered from capacity and modity-based tariffs	Revenue split [%]	Revenue [thous. PLN]			
	Capacity-ba	sed tariff revenue	100%	757 187			
	Commodity-	based tariff revenue	0%	-			
Articles	[D] Entry-exit split of the tro	nsmission services revenu	Je.				
26(1)(b)	Breakdown between th	e revenue from capacity	-based transmis	sion tariffs at all er	try points and		
	the revenue from capa	city-based transmission to	aritts at all exit po	oints.			

30(1)(b)(v)	The table below presents the split of the regulated revenue recovered from capacity-based						
(2)	transmission tarif	fs at all entry points and the reve	nue recovered	from capacity-based	transmission		
	tariffs at all exit p	points.					
	Rev	enue recovered at entry and exit	Revenue	Revenue			
		points	split [%]	[thous. PLN]			
	Capa recov	city-based tariff revenue ered at entry points	50%	378 593			
	Capa recov	city-based tariff revenue ered at exit points	50%	378 593			
	[E] Intra-system, revenue from	cross-border split of the transmis domestic network users at both o	sion services re entry points and	evenue. Breakdown k exit points and the re	petween the evenue from		
	cross-border the TAR NC.	network users at both entry points	and exit points o	calculated as set out i	n Article 5 of		
Articles 26(1)(b) 30(1)(b)(v) (3)	All entry and exi	points on the Transit Gas Pipeline S	System are cross	- system points.			
		Revenue recovered at intra-system and cross-system points	Revenue split [%]	Revenue [thous. PLN]			
		Revenue recovered at cross-system points	100%	757 187			
		Revenue recovered at inra-system points	0%	-			
[C] INF	ORMATION ON C	OMMODITY BASED AND NON-TRAN	SMISSION TARIFF	S [ART. 26(1)(C)]			
[8] Flow bo	ised charge. Infoi	mation on commodity-based trans	smission tariffs re	ferred to in Article 4(3	).		
Articles	[A] The manner i	n which they are set.					
26(1)(c)(i)	Not applicable.						
(1)	As per the proposed RPM, 100% of the regulated revenue will be recovered in capacity-based tariffs.						
4(3)(0)	No commodity-based transmission tariff's calculation method is proposed.						
Articles	[B] Share of the o	allowed or target revenue forecaste	ed to be recove	red from such tariffs.			
26(1)(c)(i)	Not applicable.						
(2)	As per the propo	osed RPM, 100% of the regulated re	venue will be re	covered in capacity-l	oased tariffs.		
4(3)(a)	No commodity-based transmission tariff's calculation method is proposed.						
Articles	[C] Indicative flo	w-based charge.					
26(1)(c)(i)	Not applicable.						
(3)	As per the prope	osed RPM, 100% of the regulated re	evenue will be re	covered in capacity-	based tariffs.		
4(3)(a)	No commodity-b	based transmission tariff's calculation	on method is pro	posed.			
[9] Comple Article	ementary revenue 4(3).	e recovery charge: Information on a	commodity-bas	ed transmission tariffs	referred to in		

Articlos	[A] The manner in which they are set.
26(1)(c)(i)	Not applicable.
(1)	The RPM methodology proposed by the Company does not provide collection of a supplementary
4(3)(b)	fee related to the settlement of revenues.
	[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.
Articles	Not applicable.
26(1)(c)(i)	The RPM methodology proposed by the Company does not provide collection of a supplementary
(∠) 4(3)(b)	fee related to the settlement of revenues.
Articles	[C] Indicative flow-based charge.
26(1)(c)(i)	Not applicable.
(3)	The RPM methodology proposed by the Company does not provide collection of a supplementary
4(3)(b)	fee related to the settlement of revenues.
[10] Inform	nation on non-transmission services provided to network users.
Articles	[A] Non-transmission service tariff methodologies.
26(1)(c)(ii) (1)	Natappliaghla
(1) 4(1)	On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.
(1) 4(1) Article	On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services. [B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii)	On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services. [B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs. Not applicable.
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2)	On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services. [B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs. Not applicable. On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2)	On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services. <b>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</b> Not applicable. On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services. <b>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to</b>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii)	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> </ul>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (3)	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> </ul>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (3) 17(3)	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> </ul>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (3) 17(3) Article	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[D] Indicative non-transmission tariffs for non-transmission services to network users.</li> </ul>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (3) 17(3) Article 26(1)(c)(ii)	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[D] Indicative non-transmission tariffs for non-transmission services to network users.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> </ul>
(1) (1) 4(1) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (2) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (3) 17(3) Article 26(1)(c)(ii) (4)	<ul> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[B] Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[C] The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3).</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> <li>[D] Indicative non-transmission tariffs for non-transmission services to network users.</li> <li>Not applicable.</li> <li>On the TGPS network, the Company does not plan to provide non-transmission services.</li> </ul>

## [D] COMPARED TARIFFS AND TARIFF MODEL [ART. 26(1)(D)]

## [11] The indicative information set out in Article 30 (2).

The transmission tariff comparison is based on reference prices determined in line with the RPM used for tariff year 2021 and the methodology proposed herein for 2023 through 2024 consistent with the CWD method described in Article 8 of the TAR NC.

The GAZ-SYSTEM decided to use data for 2021 in the simplified tariff model as a result of information obtained from EuRoPol GAZ s.a., responsible for calculating the tariff for 2022, which indicated that Article 26 of the TAR NC does not require the publication of data on a tariff that has not yet entered into application (i.e. data on the tariff for 2022) and that the data for the current tariff period (i.e. for 2021) will be an adequate comparative year.

	[A] Compa	rison between tran	smission tariffs ap	plicable for:				
	prevailing tariff period, and for							
	• the tariff period to which the indicative reference prices, which are the subject of this							
	consultation, relate							
	Explain	the difference be	ween the level o	of transmission tariffs	s for the s	ame type of t	ransmission	
	service.							
	The table b	elow presents the a	differences in refe	rence price levels be	etween the	e tariff, approv	ed by the	
	ERO Presid	ent for 2021, and t	he indicative tari	ff rates calculated	on the ba	sis of the CW[	) method	
	proposed f	or 2023 - 2024, desc	cribed in Article 8	of the TAR NC.				
		Entry points/ exit points	Tariff 2021 [gr/kWh/h per h]	Indicative tariff rates [gr/kWh/h per h]	Change %	Change [gr]		
		Kondratki Entry	0.14292	0.26816	88%	0.12524		
		Mallnow Entry	0.14292	0.12056	-16%	-0.02236		
		PWP Exit	0.04732	0.14059	197%	0.09327		
		Mallnow Exit	0.14292	0.25959	82%	0.11667		
Articles								
30(2)(a)(i)	It should be	noted that accord	ling to the tariff pro	epared by EuRoPol (	GAZ s.a. for	2021 and the	reference	
	price meth	odology approved	I by the President	of ERO for 2020-2022	2, the fored	casted allowed	d revenue	
	based only	on the revenue fr	om physical direc	tion flow services (i.	.e. does na	ot include reve	enue from	
	reverse flov	v). This means that r	no separate transr	mission rate was cal	culated at	the Entry Mallr	10w point.	
	ine same r	ate as at exit Maline	ow shall be used t	o settle transmission	services a	t this point in 2	.021.	
	The chang	e in the level of th	ne indicative refe	rence prices comp	ared to th	e rates under	the Tariff	
	effective fro	om 23 April 2021 is (	due to:					
	- usage of a	a different RPM - th	e CWD methodol	ogy proposed for 20	)23-2024 cd	onsistent with A	Article 8 of	
	the TAR N	C, unlike the RPM u	sed for 2020-2022,	includes four interco	onnection	points in the co	alculation,	
	that is two	o entry points - Kon	dratki and Mallno	w and two exit poin	its - Point o	f Interconnect	tion (PWP)	
	and Mallr	now,						
	- changes i	in contracted cap	acity levels at inte	rconnection points,				
	- change ir	the level of regula	ted revenue cons	tituting the basis for a	calculatior	n of indicative	reference	
	prices for 2023 in relation to the revenue constituting the basis for calculation of rates by EuRoPol							
	GAZ s.a. f	or the tariff year 20	21.					
	[B] Comparison between transmission tariffs applicable for:							
	• tariff	period for which th	e information is p	ublished, and for				
Articles	• eacl	h tariff period withir	the remainder of	the regulatory perio	od.			
20(1)(a) 30(2)(a)(ii)	Not applice	able.		<u> </u>				
	The tariff ve	ear shall be eaual to	o the regulatory p	eriod.				
ļ				h roqularly angelin	a notwork			
Articles		ssion tariffs applica	he for the prevail	ing tariff period and	y nerwork	their possible		
26(1)(d) 30(2)(b)	hevor	such tariff period					GAOIOIIOII	
(-)(0)	Deyond	a soch fullin period.						

	The Company has developed a simplified tariff model in the form of an Excel file, allowing the
	calculation of reference prices determined according to the proposed CWD methodology, enabling
	changes of some model input parameters.
	A simplified model is available at https://en.gaz-system.pl/ at the link:
	https://en.gaz-system.pl/customer-zone/transit-yamal-pipeline/tgps-tariff/tar-nc-consultation/
Articles	[D] Explanation of how to use the simplified tariff model
26(1)(d) 30(2)(b)	The simplified tariff model is used to simulate reference prices (tariff rates at entry to and exit from the
	TGPS for firm annual products). The default settings correspond to the input data values underlying the
	calculation of the indicative reference prices presented in this consultation document. The simplified
	tariff model enables the changes to the scope:
	• the level of regulated revenue,
	• forecasted contracted capacities at individual points in the system (the minimum
	<ul> <li>forecasted contracted capacities at individual points in the system (the minimum acceptable capacity is 1 kWh/h).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>forecasted contracted capacities at individual points in the system (the minimum acceptable capacity is 1 kWh/h).</li> <li>The calculation parameters are changed by entering values in the fields marked in orange in</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>forecasted contracted capacities at individual points in the system (the minimum acceptable capacity is 1 kWh/h).</li> <li>The calculation parameters are changed by entering values in the fields marked in orange in appropriate units. In order to return to the default (indicative) data, click on the button "return to</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>forecasted contracted capacities at individual points in the system (the minimum acceptable capacity is 1 kWh/h).</li> <li>The calculation parameters are changed by entering values in the fields marked in orange in appropriate units. In order to return to the default (indicative) data, click on the button "return to indicative data".</li> </ul>

Г

[E] FIXED PAYABLE PRICE UNDER PRICE CAP REGIME [ART. 26(1)(E)]		
[12] Where the fixed payable price referred to in Art.24(b) is offered under a price cap regime for existing capacity.		
	[A] Provide proposed index.	
Article	Not applicable.	
26(1)(e)(i)	The proposed methodology, consistent with Article 8 of the TAR NC, does not assume the fixed	
	payable price approach set out in Article 24(B) of the TAR NC.	
	[B] Provide proposed calculation for the risk premium	
Article	Not applicable.	
26(1)(e)(ii)	The proposed methodology, as described in Article 8 of the TAR NC, does not assume the fixed	
	payable price approach set out in Article 24(B) of the TAR NC.	
	[C] How is the revenue derived from the risk premium used?	
	Not applicable.	
Article	The proposed methodology, as described in Article 8 of the TAR NC, does not assume the fixed	
20(1)(0)(1)	payable price approach set out in Article 24(B) of the TAR NC.	

٦

Article 26(1)(e)(iii)	[D] At which IPs is such approach is proposed?
	Not applicable.
	The proposed methodology, as described in Article 8 of the TAR NC, does not assume the fixed
	payable price approach set out in Article 24(B) of the TAR NC.
Article 26(1)(e)(iii)	[E] For which tariff period(s) is such approach proposed?
	Not applicable.
	The proposed methodology, as described in Article 8 of the TAR NC, does not assume the fixed
	payable price approach set out in Article 24(B) of the TAR NC.
Article 26(1)(e)(iv)	[F] The process of offering capacity at an IPs where both fixed and floating payable price approaches
	referred to in Article 24 are proposed.
	Not applicable.
	The proposed methodology, as described in Article 8 of the TAR NC, does neither assume fixed
	payable price nor approach floating payable price approach set out in Article 24 of the TAR NC.